

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Accounting for intervention complexity in rcts in surgery: new approaches for intervention definition and methods for monitoring fidelity

Natalie Blencowe^{1,3*}, Alex Boddy³, Alexander Harris², Tom Hanna⁴, Penny Whiting¹, Jonathan Cook⁵, Jane Blazeby^{1,3}

From 2nd Clinical Trials Methodology Conference: Methodology Matters
Edinburgh, UK. 18-19 November 2013

The recognition that surgical interventions are complex has major implications for the design of RCTs, including the need for methods to describe interventions in study protocols to allow delivery and fidelity to be accurately assessed. We report approaches to defining and describing surgical interventions and assessing intervention fidelity.

Some 81 RCTs evaluating 135 surgical interventions, published between 2010 and 2011, were systematically identified. A subset of reports were scrutinised and iterative discussions developed a classification framework for intervention definition and fidelity. Two researchers independently read and re-read articles, discussed with the research team, and re-worked the classification to inform the framework which was reapplied to all papers.

The whole surgical intervention, component parts and individual steps were classified. Whole interventions were categorised into four groups: i) resection, ii) reconstruction, iii) resection and reconstruction, and iv) exploration. Components of interventions included i) incision, ii) dissection, iii) haemostasis, and iv) closure. Individual steps within each component included categories such as length of incision or extent of dissection. Descriptions of whole interventions, component parts and individual steps were each classified as mandatory, prohibited or optional. Mandatory elements included those delivered flexibly, within limits, or exactly. Intervention fidelity was similarly categorised as relating to the whole intervention, component parts and individual steps.

Descriptions and categorisation of surgical interventions is feasible and the precise level of detail required will depend upon trial design and the nature of the research question. Further work to explore the application of this system to new trials is now required.

Authors' details

¹University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. ²Imperial College London, London, UK. ³University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK. ⁴Royal Cornwall Hospitals Trust NHS, Truro, UK. ⁵University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK.

Published: 29 November 2013

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-14-S1-O86

Cite this article as: Blencowe et al.: Accounting for intervention complexity in rcts in surgery: new approaches for intervention definition and methods for monitoring fidelity. *Trials* 2013 **14**(Suppl 1):O86.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article